

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

STEVEN AMES BROWN, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

No. C 08-02348 JSW

v.

No. C 09-03796 JSW

ANDREW B. STROUD, et al.,

No. C 11-05822 JSW

Defendants

**ORDER OF CLARIFICATION**

ANDREW B. STROUD, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

CASTLE ROCK ENTERTAINMENT, et al.,

Defendants

LISA SIMONE KELLY,

Plaintiff,

v.

WALLY ROKER, et al.,

Defendants

On March 29, counsel for the Estate of Andrew B. Stroud, W. Charles Robinson, noticed a motion for reconsideration for a date that had already been closed. Mr. Stroud was

1 directed to re-notice the motion for reconsideration for an open date. On April 2, Mr. Robinson  
2 re-noticed the motion for reconsideration in Case No. 08-2348 and Case No. 11-5822, but did  
3 not re-notice the motion in Case No. 09-3796. The Court admonishes Mr. Robinson that unless  
4 and until the motion is re-noticed in Case No. 09-3796, there is no motion for reconsideration  
5 pending in that case.

6 Moreover, Mr. Robinson purports to file the motion for reconsideration on behalf of  
7 Stroud Productions and Enterprises, Inc. ("SPE") and Andy Stroud, Inc. ("ASI"), in addition to  
8 Scarlett P. Stroud in her capacity as Executrix of the Estate of Andrew B. Stroud. However, as  
9 the Court previously admonished counsel, Mr. Robinson has filed *pro hac vice* applications  
10 only on behalf of Scarlett Stroud and the Estate of Andrew B. Stroud. Unless and until Mr.  
11 Robinson properly files *pro hac vice* applications on behalf of SPE and ASI, and the Court  
12 grants such applications, Mr. Robinson cannot appear on behalf of SPE and ASI and, thus, does  
13 not have the authority to file motions on their behalf.

14 Pursuant to Northern District Civil Local Rule 11-3, through his application to appear  
15 *pro hac vice*, Mr. Robinson agreed to abide by the Standards of Professional Conduct set forth  
16 in Civil Local Rule 11-4, which includes "practic[ing] with the honesty, care, and decorum  
17 required for the fair and efficient administration of justice" and "discharg[ing] his ... obligations  
18 to his ... client and the Court." Mr. Robinson is admonished that if he continues to disregard  
19 Orders of the Court or not practice with care, the Court will revoke his *pro hac vice* status on  
20 behalf of Ms. Stroud and the Estate.

21 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

22  
23 Dated: April 2, 2013

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
JEFFREY S. WHITE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE